

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,554	02/22/2002	Hiroto Yoshii	B588-027	4281
26272 759	90 11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
ROBIN BLECKER & DALEY			ZEMAN, MARY K	
2ND FLOOR 330 MADISON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, N	NY 10017		1631	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/081,554	Y	YOSHII, HIROTO				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	A	rt Unit				
	Mary K Zeman	4	531				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, howev within the statutory minir ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely num of thirty (30) days wil IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED (3	filed I be considered timely. mailing date of this communication. IS U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		_1					
, _	s action is non-fin		anding a to the second in				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) be objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •						
Attachment(s)	,	33 3					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1	Notice of Informal Pate	ro-413) Paper No(s) nt Application (PTO-152)				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-21, 46-48, drawn to a probe design method utilizing a tree/node structure and an apparatus and program therefor, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
- II. Claims 22-37 and 42-45, drawn to a probe design method that utilizes a hash table or partial hash table structure, classified in class 702, subclass 20.
- III. Claims 38-41, drawn to a probe design method that utilizes a discrimination tree structure, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
- IV. Claims 49-50, drawn to a microarray and a probe, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Method groups I-III are independent and distinct, each from the other, as they are drawn to differing methods utilizing differing algorithms and data structures to differing ends. Each method would require search in a non-coextensive art area. As such, there would be a serious burden upon the examiner if not restricted.

The method groups I-III are independent and distinct from the product group IV, as the products can be made by other processes- synthetically, or determined by other mathematical or arbitrary selection processes. The claims do not set forth a specific sequence or structure, and are therefore not linked in a specific manner to any previous group.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/081,554

Art Unit: 1631

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary K Zeman whose telephone number is (703) 305-7133.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,554 Page 4

Art Unit: 1631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached at (703) 308-4028.

The Official fax number for this Art Unit is: (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC1600 Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

mkz 10/10/03

> MARY K. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER